

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/799,922	Applicant(s) HOFLAND ET AL.	
	Examiner Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D	Art Unit 1615	

All Participants:

(1) Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D.

(2) William B. Kezer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 July 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner pointed out that a species election was not made by applicant in response to the restriction and election requirements made. Mr. Kezer in his voice message left indicated that applicant has elected 'viral infections' as the species.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)